Presentation of Findings

- Original contract Unincorporated County
- Additional contract City and three towns
- Additional effort to update impervious features on about 5,000 parcel polygons across all jurisdictions

- February April: program planning and cost evaluation
- May June: Data update for impervious features
- June July: Draft rate structures and rates

* Program planning efforts focused more on unincorporated County.

- Major Issues for County:
 - Countywide infrastructure O&M costs increasing and currently no funding from municipalities
 - County rate base decreasing
 - MS4 compliance costs increasing
 - Capital needs expanding
 - Rates held constant since 2008 while costs rose and inflation continued

- Major Issues for City and Towns:
 - Failing infrastructure and lack of data about the infrastructure (Beaufort)
 - MS4 compliance costs increasing (Bluffton, HHI)
 - O&M needs expanding for older infrastructure that the Town has agreed to maintain (HHI)
 - Increasing O&M needs and shrinking fund balance (PR)

STORMWATER UTILITY RATE STUDY SIX OPTIONS FOR RATE STRUCTURE

Modeled Rate Structure Option	Overall Rate Structure	Debt Financing for Some Capital	Partial Tax Funding	Method for Allocating Administrative Costs	Method for Allocating County- wide Infrastructure Maintenance Costs	Method for Re- allocating Costs from One Jurisdiction to another	Minimum Charge	Simplified Residential Rates
А	Impervious Area	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious Area SFU's	None	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	No	Yes
В	Impervious Area	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious Area SFU's	None	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	No	Yes
С	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Per Account	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
D	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious and Gross Area	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
E	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Per Account	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
F	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious and Gross Area	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes

STORMWATER UTILITY RATE STUDY SIX OPTIONS FOR RATE STRUCTURE

Modeled Rate Structure Option	Overall Rate Structure	Debt Financing for Some Capital	Partial Tax Funding	Method for Allocating Administrative Costs	Method for Allocating County- wide Infrastructure Maintenance Costs	Method for Re- allocating Costs from One Jurisdiction to another	Minimum Charge	Simplified Residential Rates
А	Impervious Area	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious Area SFU's	None	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	No	Yes
В	Impervious Area	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious Area SFU's	None	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	No	Yes
С	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Per Account	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
D	Impervious and Gross Area at	No	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Impervious and Gross Area	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at	Yes	Yes
Е	Impervious and Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	Yes	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Per Account	Impervious and Gross Area	Optional at Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes
F	Gross Area at 80/20 or 90/10	Yes	Optional at Junisdiction I Choice	Impervious and Gross Area	Impervious and Gross Area	Ontional et Jurisdiction's Choice	Yes	Yes

- Administration costs \$3.18 per SFU this year, transitioning to per account in out years
- Borrow funds to finance capital projects (\$5M in 2017, \$5M in 2019)
- 80/20 Impervious and Gross area revenues for variable portion
- Countywide Infrastructure allocable to Impervious and Gross area

Advantages of this rate structure:

- Consistent with already established administration costs of \$3.18 per SFU this year, and flexible for changing the methodology later
- Borrowing funds to finance capital projects (\$5M in 2017, \$5M in 2019) blunts rate increase and allows future residents to help pay for the programs and infrastructure they will use
- Allocates some costs to gross land area
- Establishes an allocation method for countywide infrastructure O&M

- Countywide Infrastructure costs about \$3.5M
- Allocation of CWI costs based on infrastructure distribution throughout County:

Unincorporated County	76.4%
City of Beaufort	3.4%
Town of Port Royal	1.0%
Town of Bluffton	11.1%
Town of Hilton Head Island	8.1%

- Countywide Infrastructure costs about \$3.5M
- Allocation of CWI costs based on infrastructure distribution throughout County (see percentages). Current per SFU rates required to generate CWI monies are as follows:

Unincorporated County	\$42.28 per SFU
City of Beaufort	\$8.05 per SFU
Town of Port Royal	\$5.03 per SFU
Town of Bluffton	\$26.34 per SFU
Town of Hilton Head Island	\$7.66 per SFU

Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc.

- OPTION E -- Unincorporated County rates recommended (draft) in study:
 - Fixed charge per year: \$12.00 per parcel/account
 - Impervious charge: \$65.00 per Unit
 - Gross area charge: \$10.00 per Unit, declining blocks

How Option E Compares -- Unincorporated County rates recommended (draft) in study:

Typical home on 1 acre lot

• Current charge: \$50 per year

• Option E charge: \$87 per year

• Option A charge: \$100 per year (\$120 per year by 2019)

Declining block rates for gross area charges in the recommended unincorporated County rate structure:

• First 2 acres: \$10.00 per year

• Next 8 acres: \$5.00 per acre per year

• Next 90 acres: \$4.00 per acre per year

• All acres > 100: \$3.00 per acre per year